

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

9 RANDAL N. WIIDEMAN,)
10 Plaintiff,) 3: 09-cv-00704-LRH-VPC
11 vs.)
12 E. K. McDANIEL, *et al.*,)
13 Defendants.) /

ORDER

15 On September 27, 2010, plaintiff filed a motion for voluntary dismissal of this action.
16 (Docket #11.) In his motion, plaintiff stated that he had raised his claims in 3:09-cv-0650, another
17 action he had pending before this court. *Id.* On October 8, 2010, this court granted plaintiff's
18 motion and entered judgment in this case. (Docket #13.) On October 18, 2010, plaintiff filed a
19 motion to re-instate his complaint. (Docket #14.) Plaintiff provides no explanation or basis for his
20 motion other than the assertion that his earlier motion to voluntarily dismiss this action was made in
21 error. *Id.* The court finds this to be an insufficient basis to reverse its judgment. The court notes
22 that 3:09-cv-650 was dismissed for failure to state a claim on September 23, 2010. Plaintiff may not
23 now attempt to relitigate claims raised in that action.

24 Accordingly, plaintiff's motion is **HEREBY DENIED**. (Docket #14.)

25 DATED this 30th day of November, 2010.

LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE